Exercise 1

Consider the following JPDF of two RVs X and Y:

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} K & 0 \le x \le 1, \quad 0 < y < 1 - x \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

where *K* is a real-valued constant.

- 1) Compute *K* without using integration;
- 2) Compute $P{Y > \alpha}, 0 < \alpha < 1$, without using integration;
- 3) Compute $f_X(x)$, $f_Y(y)$, and state if the two RVs are independent;
- 4) Compute the PDF of RV $W = \frac{Y}{x}$.

Exercise 2

Consider a system where a constant (and configurable) number of jobs *K* is processed cyclically through *three stages*. Stage *j*, $0 \le j \le 2$, is populated by 3 - j *identical* Service Centers, each one having a single server with a serving rate μ_j . Jobs leaving a SC in stage *j* go to stage $|j + 1|_3$, and they are routed to each of the SCs of the new stage with the same probability.

- 1) Model the system as a closed queueing network
- 2) Find the routing matrix and compute the SS probabilities in their general form.
- 3) Explain the SS probabilities and find an alternative formulation that only includes the number of jobs at each *stage*.
- 4) Find G(M, K) for a generic K assuming $\mu_1 = \frac{3}{2}\mu_0$, $\mu_2 = 3\mu_0$. Instantiate the result for K = 9.
- 5) Find the probability that all the jobs are at stage j

Exercise 1 – Solution

1) As per the figure, the JPDF is non null and equal to K within the triangle. The integral of that constant in a triangular area must be equal to 1. Therefore, it must be that K = 2. The same result can be obtained (more tediously) through integration.



2) With reference to the above drawing, $\{Y > \alpha\}$ is the triangle having the $(0,1), (0,\alpha), (1 - \alpha, \alpha)$ as vertices. Since the JPDF is uniform, and its integral on the whole triangle is equal to one, the requested probability is just the proportion of the areas, i.e.

$$P\{Y > \alpha\} = \frac{\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} = (1-\alpha)^2$$

3) By integration:

$$f_X(x) = \int_0^{1-x} f(x, y) dy = \int_0^{1-x} 2dy = 2(1-x), f_Y(y) = \int_0^{1-y} f(x, y) dx = \int_0^{1-y} 2dx = 2(1-y)$$

Thus, $f(x, y) \neq f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$, hence the two RVs are not independent.

4) RV *W* is defined in $0, +\infty$). It is $P\{W \le a\} = P\{Y \le a \cdot X\}$. The above inequality defines a triangle whose vertexes are (0,0), (1,0), $\left(\frac{1}{(1+a)}, \frac{a}{(1+a)}\right)$, hence its base is equal to 1 and its height is equal to $\frac{a}{(1+a)}$. Following the same reasoning as for points 1 and 2, the probability is the area of that triangle normalized to the area of the initial triangle, i.e.

$$P\{W \le a\} = P\{Y \le a \cdot X\} = \frac{\left[\frac{a}{(1+a)}\right]}{\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{a}{(1+a)}$$

The PDF for *W* is the following: $f_W(a) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left(\frac{a}{1+a}\right) = \frac{1}{(1+a)^2}$



Exercise 2 - solution

1) A model for the above system is the following:



The routing matrix is the following (row indexes are also reported for ease of reading):

П =	0.1	F 0	0	0	1/2	1/2	ן0
	0.2	0	0	0	1/2	1/2	0
	0.3	0	0	0	1/2	1/2	0
	1.1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	1.2	0	0	0	0	0	1
	2.1	1/3	1/3	1/3	0	0	0]

Hence the routing equations are:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{0,x} = \frac{1}{3}\lambda_{2,1} & 1 \le x \le 3\\ \lambda_{1,y} = \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{0,x} & 1 \le x \le 3, \quad 1 \le y \le 2\\ \lambda_{2,1} = 2 \cdot \lambda_{1,y} & 1 \le y \le 2 \end{cases}$$

2) From the above system (also due to reasons of symmetry), it is clear that $\lambda_{0,x} = \lambda_0, \lambda_{1,y} = \lambda_1$. A solution of the above system is $e^T = \begin{bmatrix} e, & e, & \frac{3}{2}e, & \frac{3}{2}e, & 3e \end{bmatrix}$, with *e* being an arbitrary constant. Therefore, we can select $e = \mu_0$ and obtain $\rho^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1, & 1, & 1, & \frac{3\mu_0}{2\mu_1}, & \frac{3\mu_0}{2\mu_1}, & 3\frac{\mu_0}{\mu_2} \end{bmatrix}$. By Gordon and Newell's Theorem, the SS probabilities are:

$$p(n_{0.1}, n_{0.2}, \dots, n_{2.1}) = \frac{1}{G(M, K)} \cdot \left(\frac{3\mu_0}{2\mu_1}\right)^{(n_{1.1}+n_{1.2})} \cdot \left(\frac{3\mu_0}{\mu_2}\right)^{n_{2.1}}$$

3) The above expression can be rewritten by observing that only the number of jobs *at each stage* matters, since probabilities are equal for every distribution of jobs within a stage:

$$p(K - (N_1 + N_2), N_1, N_2) = \frac{1}{G(M, K)} \cdot \left(\frac{3\mu_0}{2\mu_1}\right)^{N_1} \cdot \left(\frac{3\mu_0}{\mu_2}\right)^{N_2}$$

4) Under the hypotheses, it is $\rho = 1$ at each stage. This means that $G(M, K) = |\mathcal{E}| = \binom{K + M - 1}{M - 1}$. With K = 9 we have $G(M, K) = \binom{14}{5} = 2002$.

5) The probability of each state is the same, and it is equal to

$$p = \frac{1}{G(M,K)} = \frac{1}{2002}$$

Therefore, we are in a UPM. The probability that all jobs are at stage *j* is $P_j = \frac{|E|}{|E|}$, where |E| is the number of possible combinations of *K* jobs at 3 - j SCs, i.e., $|E| = \binom{K + (3 - j) - 1}{(3 - j) - 1}$. This yields:

$$P_{0} = \frac{\binom{11}{2}}{2002} = \frac{55}{2002}$$
$$P_{1} = \frac{\binom{10}{1}}{2002} = \frac{10}{2002}$$
$$P_{2} = \frac{\binom{9}{0}}{2002} = \frac{1}{2002}$$