Network Security

Elements of Applied Cryptography Public key encryption

- Public key cryptosystem
- RSA and the factorization problem
- RSA in practice
- Other asymmetric ciphers

Asymmetric Encryption Scheme



Let us consider two families of algorithms representing **invertible transformations**:

Encryption transformations : $\{E_e : e \in K\}, E_e : M \rightarrow C$ Decryption transformations : $\{D_d : d \in K\}, D_d : C \rightarrow M$

such that:

- I. $\forall e \in K$, \exists a unique $d \in K$, such that D_d is the inverse of E_e
- II. $\forall m \in M, \forall c \in C, E_e(m) \text{ and } D_d(c) \text{ are easy to compute}$
- III. Known $e \in K$ and $c \in C$, it is computationally infeasible to find the message $m \in M$ such that $E_e(m) = c$
- IV. Known $e \in K$, it is computationally infeasible to determine the corresponding key d



Because of properties III and IV,

- decryption key *d* MUST be kept secret
- encryption key e CAN be made public without compromising the security of the decryption key

2-party comm with asymmetric encryption



- The encryption key e can be sent on the same channel on which the ciphertext c is being transmitted
- It is necessary to *authenticate* public keys to achieve *data origin authentication* of the public keys themselves

Types of attack



Objectives of adversary

- break the system: recover plaintext from ciphertext
- completely break the system: recover the key

Types of attacks

- No asymmetric cipher is perfect
- Since the encryption keys are public knowledge, a passive adversary can always mount a chosen-plaintext attack
- A stronger attack is a **chosen-ciphertext attack** where an active adversary selects ciphertext of its choice, and then obtains by some means (from the victim) the corresponding plain-tex



A case study THE RSA CRYPTOSYSTEM

Network Security

© Gianluca Dini



Key generation

- Generate two large, distinct primes p, q (100÷200 decimal digits)
- 2. Compute $n = p \times q$ and $\phi = (p-1) \times (q-1)$
- 3. Select a random number $1 < e < \phi$ such that gcd(e, ϕ) = 1
- 4. Compute the **unique** integer $1 < d < \phi$ such that $ed \equiv 1 \mod \phi$
- 5. (*d*, *n*) is the *private* key
- 6. (e, n) is the *public* key

At the end of key generation, *p* and *q* must be destroyed

RSA encryption and decryption



Encryption. To generate c from m, Bob should do the following

- 1. Obtain A's authentic public key (n, e)
- Represent the message as an integer *m* in the interval [0, *n*-1] (0 ≤ *m* < *n*)
- 3. Compute $c = m^e \mod n$
- 4. Send *c* to *A*

Decryption. To recover *m* from *c*, Alice should do the following

1. Use the private key d to recover $m = c^d \mod n$

Example with artificially small numbers



Key generation

Let p = 47 e q = 71 n = p × q = 3337 φ= (p-1) × (q-1)= 46 ×70 = 3220
Let e = 79 ed = 1 mod φ(n) 79× d = 1 mod 3220 d = 1019

Encryption

Let *m* = 9666683

Divide *m* into blocks $m_i < n$

$$m_1 = 966; m_2 = 668; m_3 = 3$$

Compute

 $c_1 = 966^{79} \mod 3337 = 2276$

 $c_3 = 3^{79} \mod 3337 = 158$

$$c = c_1 c_2 c_3 = 2276 \ 2423 \ 158$$

Decryption

- $m_1 = 2276^{1019} \mod 3337 = 966$
- $m_2 = 2423^{1019} \mod 3337 = 668$

m = 966 668 3



- Let a and b be two k-bit integers
 - a + b can be done in time O(k)
 - **a** × **b** can be done in **O**(**k**²)
- Let c be an (at most) 2k-bit integer
 - c mod a can be done in O(k²)
- Let **d** be a **k**-bit integer
 - a × b mod d can be done in O(k²)



- Let a and b be two k-bit integers
 - Addition a + b can be done in time O(k)
 - Subtraction a b can be can be done in time O(k)
 - Multiplication a × b can be done in O(k²)
 - Division a = q × b + r can be done in time O(k²)



Bit complexity of basic operations in Z_n

Operation	Bit complexity
Modular Addition (a + b) mod n	O(log n)
Modular Subtraction (a – b) mod n	O(log n)
Modular Multiplication (a \times b) mod n	O((log n)²)
Modular inversion a ⁻¹ mod n	O((log n)²)
Modular exponentiation a ^k mod n, k < n	O((log n) ³)



- RSA requires modular exponentiation c^d mod n
 - Let *n* have *k* bits in its binary representation, *k* = *log n* + 1
- Grade-school algorithm requires (d-1) modular multiplications
 - **d** is as large as ϕ which is exponentially large with respect to **k**
 - The grade-school algorithm is inefficient
- Square-and-multiply algorithm requires 2r modular multiplications where r is the number of bits in the binary representation of d
 - As $r \leq k$ then the algorithm can be done in $O(k^3)$



Exponentiation by repeated squaring and multiplication: *m*^e mod *n* requires **at most 2log**₂(e) multiplications and **2log**₂(e) divisions

Let \mathbf{e}_{k-1} , \mathbf{e}_{k-2} , ..., \mathbf{e}_2 , \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_0 , where $\mathbf{k} = \log_2 \mathbf{e}$, the binary representation of \mathbf{e}

$$\frac{m^{e} \mod n}{m^{e_{k-1}2^{k-1}}m^{e_{k-2}2^{k-2}} \operatorname{L} m^{e_{2}2^{2}}m^{e_{1}2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n}{m^{e_{k-1}2^{k-2}}m^{e_{k-2}2^{k-3}} \operatorname{L} m^{e_{2}2^{2}}m^{e_{1}}m^{e_{0}} \mod n} = \left(\left(m^{e_{k-1}2^{k-3}}m^{e_{k-2}2^{k-4}} \operatorname{L} m^{e_{2}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{1}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n}{m^{e_{0}}} = \left(\left(\left(\left(m^{e_{k-1}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{k-2}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{L} m^{e_{2}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{1}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n} = \left(\left(\left(\left(m^{e_{k-1}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{k-2}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{L} m^{e_{2}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{1}}\right)^{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n}{m^{e_{0}}} = \frac{1}{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n}{m^{e_{0}}} = \frac{1}{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n} = \frac{1}{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n}{m^{e_{0}}} = \frac{1}{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n}{m^{e_{0}}} = \frac{1}{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n} = \frac{1}{2}m^{e_{0}} \mod n}{m^{e_{0}}} = \frac{1}{2}m^$$

© Gianluca Dini

How to find a large prime



repeat

b ← randomOdd(); **until** isPrime(*b*); On average $(\log x)/2$ odd numbers must be tested before a prime b < x can be found

- Primality tests do not try to factor the number under test
 - probabilistic primality test (Solovay-Strassen, Miller-Rabin) polynomial in log n
 - *true primality test* (O(n¹²) in 2002))
- Given e, d can be computed efficiently by means of the extended Euclid algorithm
- It follows that keys can be generated efficiently (polytime)

Factoring



• **FACTORING**. Given n > 0, find its prime factorization; that is, write $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} L p_k^{e_k}$

where p_i are pairwise distinct primes and each $e_i \ge 1$,

 Primality testing vs. factoring. Deciding whether an integer is composite or prime seems to be, in general, much easier than the factoring problem

Factoring algorithms

- Brute force
- Special purpose
- General purpose
- Elliptic Curve
- Factoring on Quantum Computer (for the moment only a theorethical construct)

Factoring algorithms



Brute Force

Unfeasible if n large and |p|=|q|

General purpose

- the running times depend solely on the size of *n*
- Quadratic sieve
- General number field sieve

Special purpose

- the running times depend on certain properties of n (lead to the introduction of strong primes)
- Trial division
- Pollard's rho algorithm
- Pollard's *p* 1 algorithm

Elliptic curve algorithm

Running times



Trial division:

$$O(\sqrt{n})$$

Quadratic sieve:

$$O(e^{(\sqrt{\ln(n)\cdot\ln\ln(n)})})$$

General number field sieve:

$$O\left(e^{\left(1.923\times\sqrt[3]{\ln(n)}\cdot\left(\ln\ln(n)\right)^{2}\right)}\right)$$



The RSA Problem (RSAP)

- DEFINITION. The RSA Problem (RSAP): recovering plaintext m from ciphertext c, given the public information (n, e)
- FACT. RSAP \leq_P FACTORING
 - FACTORING is at least as difficult as RSAP or, equivalently,
 - RSAP is not harder than FACTORING
- It is widely believed that the RSA and the integer factorization problems are computationally equivalent, although no proof of this is known.



RSAP from yet another viewpoint...

- A possible way to decrypt c = m^e mod n is to compute the e-th root of c
 - Computing the *e*-th root is a computationally easy problem iff *n* is prime
 - If *n* is not prime the problem of computing the *e*-th root is *equivalent* to factoring



Relationship between Factoring and totally breaking RSA

- A possible way to completely break RSA is to discover $\Phi(n)$
- Computing $\Phi(n)$ is computationally equivalent to factoring n
 - Given **p** and **q**, s.t. n = pq, computing $\Phi(n)$ is immediate.
 - Let $\Phi(n)$ be given. From $\Phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1) = n - (p+q) + 1$, determine $x_1 = (p+q)$. From $(p-q)^2 = (p+q)^2 - 4n$, determine $x_2 = (p-q)$. Finally, p = (x1 + x2)/2 and q = (x1 - x2)/2.



- A possible way to completely break RSA is an exhaustive attack to the private key *d*
- This attack could be more difficult than factoring because, according to the choice for *e*, *d* can be much greater than *p* and *q*.

Security of RSA: relation to factoring



- The problem of computing the RSA decryption exponent *d* from the public key (*n*, *e*) and the problem of factoring *n* are computationally equivalent
- If the adversary could somehow factor *n*, then he could subsequently compute the private key *d* efficiently
- If the adversary could somehow compute *d*, then it could subsequently factor *n* efficiently

RSA in practice



RSA is substantially slower than symmetric encryption

• RSA is used for the transport of symmetric-keys and for the encryption of small quantities

Recommended size of the modulus

- 512 bit: marginal security
- 768 bit: recommended
- 1024 bit: long-term security



Selecting primes p and q

- *p* and *q* should be selected so that factoring
 n = *pq* is computationally infeasible, therefore
- *p* and *q* should be *sufficiently large* and about the *same bitlenght* (to avoid the elliptic curve factoring algorithm)
- p q should be not too small

RSA in practice



• Exponent *e* should be small or with a small number of 1's

• e=3

[1 modular multiplication + 1 modular squaring] subject to small encryption exponent attack

- e = 2¹⁶ + 1 (Fermat's number) [1 modular multiplication + 16 modular squarings] resistant to small encryption exponent attacks
- Decryption exponent *d* should be roughly the same size as *n*
 - Otherwise, if *d* is small, it could be possible to obtain *d* from the public information (*n*, *e*) or from a brute force attack

RSA: low exponent attack







- If n_1 , n_2 ed n_3 are pairwise coprime, use CRT to find x = $m^3 \mod n_1 n_2 n_3$
- As m < n_i by RSA encryption definition then
- $m^3 < n_1 n_2 n_3$, then $x = m^3$
- Thus an eavesdropper recovers *m* by computing the *integer cube root* of x (non modular!)

Common modulus attack





Chosen-plaintext attack (small message)



The adversary encrypts all possible bids (2³²) until he finds an offer Θ such that $E_e(\Theta) \equiv c$

Thus, the adversary sends a bid containing the minimal offer to win the auction: $\Theta' = \Theta + 1$

Salting is a solution

Adaptive chosen-ciphertext attack



- A chosen-ciphertext attack is one where the adversary selects the ciphertext and is then given the corresponding plaintext.
 - One way to mount such an attack is for the adversary to gain access to the equipment used for decryption (but not the decryption key, which may be securely embedded in the equipment). The objective is then to be able, without access to such equipment, to deduce the plaintext from (different) ciphertext.
- An adaptive chosen-ciphertext attack is a chosenciphertext attack where the choice of ciphertext may depend on the plaintext received from previous requests

Homomorphic property of RSA



- Let m_1 and m_2 two plaintext messages
- Let c_1 and c_2 their respective encryptions
- Observe that

$$\left(m_{1}m_{2}\right)^{e} \equiv m_{1}^{e}m_{2}^{e} \equiv c_{1}c_{2} \pmod{n}$$

 In other words, the ciphertext of m₁m₂ is c₁c₂ mod n

An adaptive chosen-ciphertext attack ...



... based on the homomorphic property of RSA



- Bob decrypts ciphertext except a given ciphertext c
- Mr Lou Cipher wants to determine the ciphertext corresponding to *c*
- Mr Lou Cipher selects x, gcd(x, n) = 1, at random and sends Bob the quantity $\overline{c} = cx^e \mod n$
- Bob decrypts it, producing $\overline{m} = (\overline{c})^d = c^d x^{ed} = mx (mod n)$
- Mr Lou Cipher determine *m* by computing $m = \overline{m}x^{-1} \mod n$

The attack can be contrasted by imposing structural constraints on *m*

Hybrid systems



- An asymmetric cipher is subject to the chosen-plaintex attack
- An asymmetric cipher is three orders of magnitude slower than a symmetric cipher

therefore

• An asymmetric cipher is often used in conjunction with a symmetric one so producing an *hybrid system*

Hybrid systems



Alice confidentially sends Bob a file F



- File F is encrypted with a symmetric cipher
- Session key is encrypted with an asymmetric cipher
- Alice needs an *authentic* copy of Bob's public key



Discrete Logarithm Systems

- Let p be a prime, q a prime divisor of p−1 and g∈[1, p−1] has order q
- Let *x* be the *private key* selected at random from [1, *q*−1]
- Let y be the corresponding public key $y = g^x \mod p$
- Discrete Logarithm Problem (DLP)
- Given (*p*, *q*, *g*) and *y*, determine *x*

ElGamal encryption scheme

Encryption

- select k randomly
- $c1 = g^k \mod p$, $c_2 = m \times y^k \mod p$
- send (c₁, c₂) to recipient

Decryption

- $c_1^x = g^{kx} \mod p = y^k \mod p$
- $m = c_2 \times y^{-k} \mod p$

Security

An adversary needs y^k mod p. The task of calculating y^k mod p from (g, p, q) and y is equivalent to DHP and thus based on DLP in M_p

ElGamal in practice



- Prime p and generator g can be common system-wide
- Prime p size
 - 512-bit: marginal
 - 768-bit: recommended
 - 1024-bit or larger: long-term
- Efficiency
 - Encryption requires two modular exponentiations
 - Message expansion by a factor of 2
- Security
 - Different random integers k must be used for different messages

Ellyptic Curve Cryptography



- Let p and F_p
- Let *E* be an elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$ where *a*, $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$
- Example. E: $y^2 = x^3 + 2x + 4 \pmod{p}$
- The set of points *E*(F_p) with *point at infinity* ∞ forms an additive abelian group

Public Key Cryptography

Elliptic curves



Geometrical approach





Algebric Approach

- Elliptic curves defined on finite field define an Abelian finite field
- Elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem
 - Given points G and Q such that Q=kG, find the integer k
 - No sub-exponential algorithm to solve it is known
- ECC keys are smaller than RSA ones

Ellyptic Curve Cryptography



- Let *P* have order *n* then the cyclic subgroup generated by *P* is (∞. *P*, 2*P*,..., (*n* − 1)*P*)
- **p**, **E**, **P** and n are the *public parameters*
- Private key *d* is selected at random in [1, *n*–1]
- Public key is **Q = dP**

Ellyptic Curve Cryptography



- Encryption
 - A message *m* is represented as a point *M*
 - $C_1 = kP; C_2 = M + kQ$
 - send $(C_1; C_2)$ to recipient
- Decryption
 - $dC_1 = d(kP) = kQ$
 - $M = C_2 dC_1$
- Security
 - The task of computing kQ from the domain parameters, Q, and C₁=kP, is the ECDHP

Comparison among crypto-systems



Security level (bits)						
	80 (SKIPJACK)	112 (3DES)	128 (AES small)	192 (AES medium)	256 (AES large)	
DL parameter q	160	224	256	384	512	
EC parameter n						
RSA modulus n	1024	2048	3072	8192	15360	
DL modulus p						

- Private key operations are more efficient in EC than in DL or RSA
- Public key operations are more efficient in RSA than EC or DL if small exponent *e* is selected for RSA